

So, as far as I am concerned, this bill is dead. I am not putting the unanimous consent request in my desk anymore; I am putting it in the garbage can. And we will wait and see what happens.

I think it is too bad. But maybe there has been something that has happened in the last few hours that will change their minds. Maybe my statement now will change their minds.

So I ask unanimous consent—I better take it out of the garbage so I can read it; and then I will put it right back, as soon as I finish—that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 252, H.R. 3210, the House-passed terrorism insurance bill; that all after the enacting clause be stricken, and the text of S. 2600, as passed in the Senate, be inserted in lieu thereof, the bill, as thus amended, be read a third time, passed, and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table; that the Senate insist on its amendment, request a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses, and that the Chair be authorized to appoint conferees on the part of the Senate, with the ratio of 4 to 3, all without intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. DAYTON). Is there objection?

The Senator from Utah.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, let me say to my friend from Nevada that his words are well-taken. His passion is understood. At least as far as I am concerned, his determination to get this bill through is fully shared.

However, on behalf of the ranking member of the Banking Committee, Senator GRAMM, and reserving his rights, as I am sure the Senator from Nevada has from time to time reserved the rights of some of his colleagues, I must object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

#### UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST— H.R. 3694

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 381, H.R. 3694, and that the Jeffords-Reid-Smith-Inhofe amendment, which is at the desk, be considered and agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read three times, passed, and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, without any intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

The Senator from Utah.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I am told that the amendment is still under review on this side of the aisle; therefore, I must again object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection has been heard.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Utah. He is absolutely correct. I, on an occasion or two, have represented Senators here, doing

things that sometimes I did not personally agree with. But I do hope that we can move forward on both matters.

I was serious about everything that I said on the terrorism insurance bill. On the matter dealing with highway funding, it is very important we get this done for a lot of different reasons. One reason is to prepare for the bill that is coming up next year, of which everyone has an interest. It is the bill we do every 5 or 6 years to fund highway projects around the country. It is money that collected during the 5-year period from the gas taxes. We need to make sure we have the ability to meet as many of the demands of the country as we can.

So I appreciate the Senator working on his side to get that cleared.

I have another unanimous consent request.

#### UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—H.R. 4775

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the previous order with respect to the conference report accompanying H.R. 4775, the supplemental appropriations bill, be modified to provide that the debate time commence at the conclusion of the debate with respect to the Hagel amendment to S. 812; with the debate time on the conference report remaining as provided for under the previous order; that upon the use of the time, without further intervening action or debate, the Senate proceed to vote on adoption of the conference report; that upon disposition of the conference report, there be 5 minutes for debate prior to a vote in relation to the Hagel amendment, with the time equally divided and controlled between Senators Hagel and Kennedy or their designees, provided further that the previous provisions relating to the Hagel amendment remain in effect.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I am happy to say on this occasion there is none.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, debate will begin on the Hagel amendment at 11 a.m. Under the previous order, there will be 2 hours of debate. At 1 p.m., the Senate will take up the supplemental conference report with 30 minutes of debate. The first vote tomorrow will be at 1:30, approximately, to be followed by a vote with respect to the Hagel amendment. There will be two votes then at 1:30 tomorrow.

I appreciate everyone working with us. We will be able to get a lot of work done in committees. The Appropriations Committee—Senator BYRD's committee—is reporting out, I think, four appropriations bills tomorrow morning.

We have a lot to do. This will allow us to do that without being broken up for votes.

#### MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate now proceed to a period of morning business with Senators allowed to speak therein for a period not to exceed 5 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about hate crimes legislation I introduced with Senator KENNEDY in March of last year. The Local Law Enforcement Act of 2001 would add new categories to current hate crimes legislation sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

I would like to describe a terrible crime that occurred in November 2000 in Bloomington, MN. Cecil John Reiners, 57, attacked a Hispanic man for speaking Spanish at work. Witnesses told police that Reiners, the business owner, was upset when a 23 year-old employee was speaking Spanish with two others at a break table. Reiners went to the warehouse with a wood post and severely beat the victim, who was treated for severe skull fractures and clots at the hospital. "All I wanted was for that Mexican to leave my property," Reiners said. Mr. Reiners was later convicted of felony first-degree assault in connection with the incident.

I believe that government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act of 2001 is now a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation and changing current law, we can change hearts and minds as well.

#### CIVILIZATION NEED NOT DIE

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, in the more than 10 months since the attacks of September 11, 2001, all of us have been trying to bring context and understanding to the new world challenges we are confronting. It is at times such as this that the Senate needs wisdom and clarity to bring such context to our times.

Often in the past, the Senate turned to one of its most distinguished colleagues for vision and wisdom. That person, Daniel Patrick Moynihan, understood history and the actors and actions that make history.

Recently, I came across the Harvard University commencement speech that our former colleague, Senator Moynihan, gave this year, on the 58th anniversary of D-Day. I think all of my colleagues will benefit from reading Pat's remarkable speech, for it gives historical context to the times in which we are living.

I, for one, miss hearing Pat's insights into life. All of us who served with Pat